

Exam, FK5024, Nuclear & particle physics, astrophysics & cosmology, November 1, 2018

Time 08:00 – 13:00, Room FR4

No tools allowed except calculator (provided at the exam) and the attached formula sheets.

- (4 p) Consider the following processes. If a process can take place draw a Feynman diagram. If a process cannot take place state a conservation law which is violated by that process.
 - $\Omega^- \rightarrow K^0 + \pi^-$
Is not possible (spin and baryon number violated).
 - $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$
Is possible (ordinary weak decay through W^- mediator).
 - $\mu^+ + \mu^- \rightarrow \tau^+ + \tau^-$
Is possible (if the energy of the muons is enough to create the heavier τ leptons).

(d) Decide which of the following statements are true or false. If a statement is false explain why.

 - The weak force is dominantly responsible for the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$.
Wrong! The large decay width (short lifetime) indicates a strong decay.
 - Violation of the conservation of energy has never been observed in a particle decay.
Correct! (If a particle decays, it has a finite lifetime τ , and the quantum mechanical uncertainty relation means that the energy may seem violated by $\Delta E \sim \mathcal{O}(\frac{\hbar}{\tau})$. However, this can be interpreted as the fact that the mass of an unstable particle is uncertain, rather than that the energy is not conserved.)
- (4 p) What are the essential features of the liquid-drop, shell, and collective models of the nucleus? Indicate what properties of the nucleus are well predicted by each model.
- Explain briefly the following concepts:
 - (1 p) Dark energy and the cosmological constant, Λ .
 - (1 p) Dark matter.
 - (2 p) Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) and ${}^4\text{He}$ production. Would there be more ${}^4\text{He}$ or less, if the neutron half-life would be smaller?
Less. All surviving neutrons eventually end up in helium nuclei, and the shorter the neutron lifetime, the less neutrons survive and the ${}^4\text{He}$ production goes down due to the lack of neutrons.
- (4 p) A μ^- and a μ^+ collide head-on at a laboratory. The μ^+ has an energy 120 GeV. An experiment at the laboratory wishes to study the process $\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow Z^0$ and selects a range in possible energy values for the μ^- .
 - Estimate the range in energy which the μ^- should possess.
From the particle table, we read the mass of the Z^0 to be 91.2 GeV/ c^2 , and the lifetime $\tau = 3 \cdot 10^{-25}$ s. This gives a decay full width of $\Gamma = 2\Delta E = \frac{\hbar}{\tau} \sim 2.2$ GeV, where we roughly have to hit the central energy of the peak in the centre of mass system with $E_{CMS} = m_{Z^0}c^2 \pm \Delta E$ to excite the resonance. Thus $s = (p_{\mu^-} + p_{\mu^+})^2 =$

$(91.2 \pm 1.1)^2$. Neglecting the rest mass of muons, this means, now working in the lab frame $(120 + E_{\mu^-})^2 - (120 - E_{\mu^-})^2 = 4 \cdot 120 \cdot E_{\mu^-} = (91.2 \pm 1.1)^2$, which gives $E_{\mu^-} = 17.33 \pm 0.42$ GeV. This is the range to choose if we want to study the Z^0 in this experiment.

(b) The experiment observes $\mu^- + \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$.

The energy of the e^+ is 75 GeV. Choose a single energy value from (a) for the μ^- which belongs to the range of energy estimated in (a) and calculate the angle between the e^+ and e^- .

Let us choose the central value 17.33 GeV for E_{μ^-} . Energy conservation means $120 \text{ GeV} + 17.33 \text{ GeV} = 75 \text{ GeV} + E_{e^-}$ (where E_{e^-} denotes the energy of the electron in the lab frame). Thus, $E_{e^-} = 62.33$ GeV. Here it is an even better approximation to neglect e^\pm masses, and we use that s is an invariant to compute in the lab frame after the reaction $s = 2 \cdot 75 \cdot 62.33 \cdot (1 - \cos \theta_\pm) = (91.2)^2$, or $1 - \cos \theta_\pm = 0.89$, i.e. $\cos \theta_\pm = 0.11$, or $\theta_\pm = 93^\circ$.

5. Natural gold $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ is radioactive since it is unstable against α -decay with an energy of 3.3 MeV.
- (a) (2 p) Is that expected from the Semi-empirical mass formula?
- (b) (2 p) Estimate the lifetime of $^{197}_{79}\text{Au}$ to explain why gold does not burn a hole in your pocket.

Useful formulas:

Geiger-Nuttall relation: $\log_{10} \lambda = C - DE_\alpha^{-1/2}$, $C \approx 52$, $D \approx 140 \text{ (MeV)}^{1/2}$
 Semi-empirical mass formula (Bethe-Weizsäcker):

$$E_B = a_V A - a_S A^{2/3} - a_A \frac{(A - 2Z)^2}{A} - a_C \frac{Z(Z - 1)}{A^{1/3}} + \delta(A, Z)$$

with

$$\delta(A, Z) = \begin{cases} +\delta_0 & \text{N, Z even, A even} \\ 0 & \text{A odd} \\ -\delta_0 & \text{N, Z odd, A even} \end{cases}, \delta_0 = \frac{a_P}{A^{1/2}}$$

Volume term: $a_V = 15.85$ MeV

Surface term: $a_S = 18.34$ MeV

Asymmetry term: $a_A = 23.21$ MeV

Coulomb term: $a_C = 0.714$ MeV

For pairing term: $a_P = 12.00$ MeV

(a) Using the given SEMF one finds $Q = -E_B(197, 79) + E_B(193, 77) + E_B(4, 2) = (-1566.2 + 1540.6 + 22.3) \text{ MeV} = -3.3 \text{ MeV}$, which is negative, so the SEMF does not work in this case (one has to add the extra binding energy of the alpha particle of around 6 MeV - not given in the problem text - which gives a positive value around 3 MeV).

(b) The Geiger-Nuttall relation gives, for $E = 3.3$ MeV, $\log_{10} \lambda = 52 - \frac{140}{\sqrt{3.3}} \approx -25.1$ s^{-1} . With the decay law $N(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}$ we see that the natural decay time scale is $1/\lambda \sim 10^{25.1}$ s, which is far longer than the age of the universe $t_0 \sim 4 \cdot 10^{17}$ s. Natural gold is regarded as stable, due to the Coulomb barrier for α -decay.

6. (a) (2 p) An alternative to the cosmological constant may be an unusual “fluid” U , the density of which changes with the scale factor like $\rho_U(t) \sim 1/a(t)$. What is the equation of state parameter w_U for this fluid?

From the fluid equation in the formula sheet one gets

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3(1+w)\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

which can be integrated with respect to time to give $\ln(\rho) = -3(1+w)\ln(a) + \text{const.}$ Exponentiation then gives $\rho \sim a^{-3(1+w)}$. Here it was given that $\rho \sim a^{-1}$, meaning $-3(1+w) = -1$, or $w = -2/3$

- (b) (2 p) Assume that the matter and U content now corresponds to $\Omega_M = 0.3$ and $\Omega_U = 0.7$ (i.e., a flat universe with no cosmological constant and where radiation can be neglected). Compute the value of the “deceleration parameter” for this hypothetical universe.

From the formula sheet, we find $q_0 = -\frac{\ddot{a}(t_0)}{a(t_0)H_0^2}$, and $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \sum_i \left(\rho_i + 3\frac{p_i}{c^2} \right) = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \sum_i \rho_i (1 + 3w_i)$. With $\rho_c^0 = (3H_0^2)/(8\pi G_N)$ (also from the formula sheet) we can find (for $z = 0$, and using $w_M = 0$, $\Omega_U = -2/3$), $q_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Omega_M - \Omega_U \right] = -0.2$ (The deceleration parameter is thus negative, meaning an accelerating universe, but slower acceleration compared to a cosmological constant).